THE COMPLETE BUYER'S GUIDE

Addressable & Conventional Fire Alarm Panels

A Technical Reference for Specifiers, Engineers & System Designers in the US & Canada

Table of Contents

1. Introduction
   - 1.1 Purpose of This Guide
   - 1.2 Intended Audience
   - 1.3 Scope & Limitations
2. Fundamentals of Fire Detection & Alarm Systems
   - 2.1 System Objectives & Performance Criteria
   - 2.2 Core Components & System Topologies
   - 2.3 Role of the Fire Alarm Control Unit (FACU)
3. Conventional Fire Alarm Panels
   - 3.1 Operating Principles
   - 3.2 System Architecture & Wiring
   - 3.3 Advantages in Design & Application
   - 3.4 Limitations & Risk Considerations
   - 3.5 Typical Use Cases & Example Scenarios
4. Addressable Fire Alarm Panels
   - 4.1 Operating Principles
   - 4.2 System Architecture & Network Topologies
   - 4.3 Advantages in Design & Application
   - 4.4 Limitations & Design Caveats
   - 4.5 Typical Use Cases & Example Scenarios
5. Technical Comparison: Conventional vs Addressable
   - 5.1 Functional Comparison
   - 5.2 Performance & Reliability Considerations
   - 5.3 Cabling, Topology & Installation Implications
   - 5.4 Programming, Testing & Commissioning
   - 5.5 Operations, Maintenance & Diagnostics
6. Design & Specification Considerations (US & Canada)
   - 6.1 Building Type, Occupancy & Risk Category
   - 6.2 Zoning & Notification Strategy
   - 6.3 Integration with Other Building Systems
   - 6.4 Power Supply, Battery Calculations & Survivability
   - 6.5 Environmental & EMC Considerations

7. Cost, Procurement & Lifecycle Economics
   7.1 Initial Capital Costs (Panels, Devices, Cabling, Engineering)
   7.2 Installation & Commissioning Costs
   7.3 Operating Costs, Maintenance & Testing
   7.4 False Alarm Management & Business Impact
   7.5 Lifecycle Cost Modelling & ROI Examples

8. Regulatory Compliance & Standards (US & Canada)
   8.1 NFPA, UL, ULC & Building Code Framework
   8.2 Detection, Notification & System Performance Requirements
   8.3 Documentation, Testing & Maintenance Obligations
   8.4 Common Compliance Pitfalls in Panel Selection

9. Future-Proofing & Technology Trends
   9.1 Scalability & Networked Systems
   9.2 Smart Buildings, IoT & Cloud Connectivity
   9.3 Cybersecurity & Networked Fire Systems
   9.4 Anticipating Code & Technology Evolution

10. Decision Framework for Specifiers & ESDs
   10.1 Structured Decision Criteria
   10.2 Conventional vs Addressable Selection Matrix
   10.3 Example Project Profiles & Recommended Solutions
   10.4 Stakeholder Communication & Justification

11. Selection Checklist & Decision Tree (Practical Tools)
   11.1 Comprehensive Specification Checklist
   11.2 Information to Request from Manufacturers & Bidders

12. Conclusion

13. References & Further Reading (US & Canada Focus)

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of This Guide

This guide provides a **structured, in-depth resource** for selecting and specifying **conventional** versus **addressable** fire alarm panels in the US and Canadian context. You will find:

- A technical comparison of both technologies
- Design and specification guidance aligned with **NFPA** and **North American building codes**
- Tools to justify your decision to **Owners, AHJs, and insurers**

1.2 Intended Audience

- **Consulting/specifying engineers** (MEP, fire protection)
- **Engineered System Distributors (ESDs)** and fire alarm contractors
- **AHJ-facing designers** needing clear justifications
- **Facility and asset managers**, especially in large portfolios

1.3 Scope & Limitations

This guide focuses on:

- **Conventional fire alarm control units** (FACUs) with zone-based circuits
- **Addressable fire alarm control units** (including intelligent/analogue addressable)

It assumes compliance with:

- **NFPA 72**, **NFPA 101**, and **model building codes** (IBC/NBC) as adopted
- **UL** and **ULC** listing/labeling requirements for equipment

It does *not* replace:

- Detailed project-specific engineering
- Jurisdiction-specific requirements
- Formal code interpretations by the AHJ

Always defer to the **Authority Having Jurisdiction**, local codes, and qualified fire protection engineers.

2. Fundamentals of Fire Detection & Alarm Systems

2.1 System Objectives & Performance Criteria

Per NFPA 72 and related standards, fire alarm and signaling systems must:

- Detect fire conditions **early enough** to initiate safe evacuation
- Provide **audible and visible notification** meeting sound/visibility/intelligibility criteria
- Support **fire department notification** (e.g., via supervising station)
- Initiate required **emergency control functions** (HVAC shutdown, door release, elevator recall, etc.)

Performance is driven by:

- Occupancy classification (e.g., from **IBC** and **NFPA 101**)
- Risk profile (sleeping vs non-sleeping, high-rise, assembly, healthcare, etc.)
- Objectives: **life safety**, **property protection**, and **mission continuity**

2.2 Core Components & System Topologies

Core system components:

- **Fire Alarm Control Unit (FACU)**
- **Initiating devices**: smoke detectors (spot/beam), heat detectors, manual pull stations
- **Notification appliances**: horns, strobes, speakers, visible indicators
- **Control Module (Output Modules)** for emergency functions
- **Power supplies** and **secondary power (batteries)**
- Interfaces to **supervising stations** for off-site monitoring

Topologies:

- **Conventional**: devices grouped by **physical circuits (zones)**; no unique addressing
- **Addressable**: devices connected to **signaling line circuits (SLCs)** with unique addresses

2.3 Role of the Fire Alarm Control Unit (FACU)

The FACU, as defined by NFPA 72, is the decision-making hub that:

- Supervises initiating device circuits and signaling line circuits
- Interprets inputs, applies programmed **logic**, and drives outputs
- Provides interface for users and responders (annunciators, keypads, graphic displays)
- Logs events, alarms, troubles and supervisory conditions

Choosing the right FACU technology is central to meeting **code**, **operational** and **business** objectives.

3. Conventional Fire Alarm Panels

3.1 Operating Principles

Conventional (non-addressable) systems:

- Group multiple devices on a **zone circuit** monitored for alarm, trouble (fault), and sometimes supervisory conditions
- Indicate event status at the FACU by **zone** only ("Alarm – Zone 3")
- Typically use **end-of-line resistors** or equivalent means to supervise wiring integrity

Devices on a zone are generally treated as **binary** (alarm / no alarm), with **limited device-level intelligence**.

3.2 System Architecture & Wiring

Key architectural characteristics:

- Each initiating device circuit (IDC) represents a **zone**.
- Manual pull stations, smoke detectors, and heat detectors can share the same IDC, depending on design.
- Notification Appliance Circuits (NACs) are separate and drive horns, strobes, speakers (via amplifiers in voice systems).

Wiring:

- Often radial (home-run) from FACU to devices and back to an **end-of-line device**.
- Cable routing, separation, and fire-resistive properties must conform to NFPA 72, NEC/CEC, and local amendments.

3.3 Advantages in Design & Application

- **Lower initial panel and device cost**
- Straightforward **design and installation** for small/simple buildings
- Shorter learning curve for basic maintenance and operation
- Widely understood by inspectors, contractors, and AHJs

For many small occupancies, conventional systems meet all regulatory requirements **efficiently and economically**.

3.4 Limitations & Risk Considerations

- **No addressability**:
    - The FACU cannot identify exactly which device on the zone is in alarm or trouble.
- **Scalability limitations**:
    - Large or complex buildings require many IDCs, leading to more cable, larger cabinets, and more complicated field wiring.
- **Reduced flexibility**:
    - Zone changes typically require **physical rewiring**, not just reprogramming.
- **Limited diagnostics**:
    - Harder to identify intermittent faults or developing device issues (e.g., dirty detectors).

For buildings where **speed of pinpointing incidents**, **frequent changes**, or **complex control functions** are crucial, these limitations can be significant.

3.5 Typical Use Cases & Example Scenarios

Common suitable applications in US/Canada:

- Small mercantile occupancies (strip-mall retail units)
- One- or two-storey business occupancies with simple egress
- Small storage/warehouse occupancies without complex processes
- Small assembly spaces (community rooms, standalone restaurants) where code permits

Less suitable, or borderline:

- Multi-storey buildings with shared services and complex HVAC
- Campuses or multi-building sites requiring common control and monitoring
- Any occupancy where **Owner** expects device-level reporting or tight integration

4. Addressable Fire Alarm Panels

4.1 Operating Principles

Addressable systems use **signaling line circuits (SLCs)**:

- Each device (detector, module, pull station) has a **unique address**.
- FACU communicates status and configuration with each device.
- Many modern systems are **analog addressable**, where raw sensor values are analyzed by FACU algorithms for more nuanced detection.

This enables:

- Exact identification of which device initiated an alarm or trouble
- Advanced strategies like **drift compensation**, cross-zoning, pre-alarm levels (where permitted by code)

4.2 System Architecture & Network Topologies

Typical architecture:

- One or more SLC loops from the FACU, each supporting many devices (exact count manufacturer- and listing-dependent).
- Use of **isolator modules** to maintain operability under certain fault conditions.
- Expansion via:
    - Additional SLCs
    - Networked FACUs and annunciators across multiple areas/buildings

Networks may use:

- Proprietary **peer-to-peer panel networks**
- Fiber or copper communication trunks
- Redundant network paths for critical facilities

4.3 Advantages in Design & Application

- **Device-level status and location**:
    - Messages like “Alarm Smoke Detector – Room 4-215 (4th Floor East)” at the control unit.

- **Enhanced diagnostics**:
    - Indications for device contamination, removal, address mismatch, etc.
- **Flexible programming**:
    - Complex cause & effect for evacuation staging, HVAC control, elevator control, smoke control, and interfaces to mass notification.
- **Scalability**:
    - Large high-rises, hospitals, higher education campuses and industrial sites can be managed efficiently.
- **Future change management**:
    - Re-zoning and system modifications mostly done via **software**, minimizing physical wiring changes.

These strengths are highly aligned with the demands of **modern US and Canadian commercial and institutional buildings**.

4.4 Limitations & Design Caveats

- **Higher capital cost** for FACUs and devices.
- Requires more **specialist design and commissioning** expertise.
- Typically **proprietary ecosystems**:
    - Mixing device and panel brands is generally not allowed under UL/ULC and manufacturer listings.
- Requires careful **documentation and labeling** for addresses and device locations.

4.5 Typical Use Cases & Example Scenarios

Well-suited for:

- High-rise office and residential buildings (IBC/NBC high-rise criteria)
- Healthcare occupancies (hospitals, long-term care facilities)
- Educational campuses (multiple buildings, centralized monitoring)
- Assembly occupancies with high occupant load and complex evacuation strategies (arenas, theaters, convention centers)
- Critical infrastructure (data centers, telecommunication hubs, control centers)

Due to life safety, business continuity, and integration needs, addressable systems are often the **default expectation** in these projects.

5. Technical Comparison: Conventional vs Addressable

5.1 Functional Comparison

Aspect	Conventional	Addressable
Device identification	By zone only	Exact device address & location
Alarm/event visibility	Limited	Detailed (device, time, type, history)
Cause & effect complexity	Basic	Highly flexible / programmable
Expansion	Adds zones, more wiring	Adds devices/loops/networks in software & HW
Integration capability	Mostly relays, hardwired links	Protocol gateways, software integration

5.2 Performance & Reliability Considerations

Both can comply with NFPA 72 requirements when correctly designed and installed. Addressable systems may offer:

- Earlier detection of **incipient faults**, like line issues and dirty detectors
- Better transparency for **troubleshooting and testing**

But they also introduce more **configuration complexity**, which requires well-trained personnel.

5.3 Cabling, Topology & Installation Implications

Conventional:

- More individual IDC/NAC runs; increased conductor count in risers and pathways.
- Cable cost and labour can grow quickly with size/complexity.

Addressable:

- Fewer SLCs with more devices per loop.
- Emphasis on **loop design** (use of isolators, survivability requirements).
- Potentially more efficient cabling in large, dispersed or multi-storey projects.

5.4 Programming, Testing & Commissioning

Conventional:

- Minimal programming: zone labels, basic digital outputs.
- Testing is straightforward but less documented at the device level.

Addressable:

- Requires:
    - Device addressing and mapping
    - Programming of logic (alarm sequences, control outputs)
    - Verification of cause & effect matrix
- Commissioning and integrated testing often take more time but yield much better documentation and control.

5.5 Operations, Maintenance & Diagnostics

Conventional:

- Technicians must locate alarms/faults using zone indications and physical investigation.
- Less support for systematic preventive maintenance.

Addressable:

- Panel and remote tools can:
    - Show exact device in alarm/trouble
    - Highlight trending issues (e.g., repeated alarms at a single device)
    - Provide detailed logs for investigations and audits.

6. Design & Specification Considerations (US & Canada)

6.1 Building Type, Occupancy & Risk Category

Design must consider model codes as adopted:

- **International Building Code (IBC)** in the US, often with state/local amendments
- **National Building Code of Canada (NBCC)** as adopted provincially/territorially
- **NFPA 101 Life Safety Code** as applicable

High-risk categories (sleeping occupancies, healthcare, detention, large assembly, high-rise) frequently justify — or effectively demand — addressable systems due to complexity.

6.2 Zoning & Notification Strategy

NFPA 72 provides guidance for:

- Zoning by floor, fire area, or defined building areas
- Notification zone strategies (e.g., evacuation vs alert, staged evacuation)
- Requirements for audibility, visibility and intelligibility (for voice systems)

Addressable FACUs allow more flexible **notification zone control** and **phased evacuation** strategies, which are common in US/Canadian high-rise and healthcare facilities.

6.3 Integration with Other Building Systems

Common integrations in US/Canadian projects:

- **HVAC/Smoke Control** (NFPA 92, IBC smoke control requirements)
- **Elevator recall and shutdown** (ASME A17.1, NFPA 72)
- **Door release and access control** (NFPA 101 and security codes)
- **Emergency voice/alarm communication systems** (EVACS, NFPA 72 Chapters)
- **Mass notification systems (MNS)** and emergency communications

These require robust, documented **control logic**, which is more naturally implemented and managed in addressable systems.

6.4 Power Supply, Battery Calculations & Survivability

NFPA 72 requires:

- Secondary power to operate for a minimum standby time (e.g., 24 hours) plus alarm time (varies by system type and application).
- Calculations for FACU, amplifiers, notification appliances, and ancillary equipment.

Addressable systems:

- May have more distributed electronics and loop-powered devices, affecting current calculations.
- Often include additional network nodes requiring secondary power.

Survivability of critical circuits (e.g., for voice alarm or smoke control) must follow NFPA 72 requirements (e.g., Level 2, 3 survivability) and may influence cable types and routing.

6.5 Environmental & EMC Considerations

US/Canada-specific considerations:

- Temperature ranges and environmental conditions (per UL/ULC listings) must match the application.
- Use of **NEMA-rated enclosures** for harsh locations where required.
- NEC/CEC-compliant cable types and separation from power circuits.

Addressable systems can better monitor and report the impacts of environmental factors (dust, humidity) on detectors over time.

7. Cost, Procurement & Lifecycle Economics

7.1 Initial Capital Costs

Typically:

- Conventional FACU & initiating devices: lower unit costs.
- Addressable FACU & devices: higher unit costs, but fewer circuits and sometimes lower cable quantity.

Regional factors:

- Labor rates in US and Canadian markets (union vs non-union, city vs rural) significantly affect the **cabling vs configuration** cost balance.

7.2 Installation & Commissioning Costs

Conventional:

- More cable pulling and terminations.
- Simplified programming and testing.

Addressable:

- Less cable (especially in larger projects).
- More time spent on programming, mapping, and integrated testing.

7.3 Operating Costs, Maintenance & Testing

US/Canada codes and NFPA 72 specify regular inspection, testing and maintenance intervals. Addressable systems can:

- Streamline periodic testing (some systems support automated routines to assist testing under NFPA 72 rules).
- Shorten diagnostic time for troubles and reduce the need for return visits.
- Provide comprehensive logs for AHJ inspections and insurance reviews.

7.4 False Alarm Management & Business Impact

False alarms:

- Disrupt operations, especially in assembly, healthcare, or high-rise occupancies.
- Affect occupant confidence.
- May incur fines or additional scrutiny from local fire departments in some jurisdictions.

Addressable systems allow:

- More sophisticated strategies (consistent with NFPA 72 and AHJ approval) such as alarm verification, cross-zoning or pre-alarm signals.

- Better analysis of chronic trouble spots.

7.5 Lifecycle Cost Modelling & ROI Examples

For US/Canadian Owners, demonstrate:

- **Scenario A – Conventional**: Lower initial cost but more frequent rewiring and slower troubleshooting over 15–20 years.
- **Scenario B – Addressable**: Higher initial cost with savings from:
  - Reduced cabling in large buildings
  - Fewer disruptions during tenant fit-outs and renovations
  - Faster troubleshooting and fewer repeat service calls
  - Better data for risk management and insurance negotiations

In many medium to large projects, **addressable systems show a lower Net Present Cost (NPC)** over 15–20 years.

8. Regulatory Compliance & Standards (US & Canada)

8.1 NFPA, UL, ULC & Building Code Framework

Key references:

- **NFPA 72: National Fire Alarm and Signaling Code**
- **NFPA 101: Life Safety Code**
- **NFPA 90A**, **NFPA 92**, **NFPA 70 (NEC)**, and others as applicable
- **International Building Code (IBC)** in the US; **National Building Code of Canada (NBCC)**
- **UL** and **ULC** standards for listing and labeling fire alarm equipment (e.g., UL 864 / ULC-S527)

In Canada:

- Provincial/territorial codes adopt the NBCC, with variations (e.g., Ontario Building Code).
- ULC listings and CSA standards are typically required for equipment.

8.2 Detection, Notification & System Performance Requirements

Codes and standards define:

- Where detection is required (e.g., corridors, sleeping areas, special hazard rooms).
- Notification appliance performance:
  - Sound pressure levels (e.g., 15 dB above ambient or per NFPA 72)
  - Strobe intensity and coverage
  - Intelligibility for voice systems
- Requirements for strobes synchronized across a system.

Both conventional and addressable systems can meet these requirements; the difference is in **how easily** they support modifications, expansion, and complex notification zones.

8.3 Documentation, Testing & Maintenance Obligations

NFPA 72 mandates:

- **Record of Completion** and **As-Built drawings**
- Periodic testing, inspection, and maintenance with documented records
- Clear identification of devices and circuits

Addressable systems facilitate:

- Efficient retrieval of event histories and test logs
- More granular reporting to satisfy inspectors and insurers

8.4 Common Compliance Pitfalls in Panel Selection

- Selecting conventional systems for complex buildings where **NFPA 72-driven functions** (e.g., high-rise requirements, smoke control) are difficult to implement and maintain.
- Underestimating documentation and testing **workload** for simpler panels in complex applications.
- Neglecting long-term AHJ expectations around **device-level documentation** and traceability.

9. Future-Proofing & Technology Trends

9.1 Scalability & Networked Systems

In US/Canada, many Owners are consolidating multiple facilities into **centralized monitoring** and management platforms. Addressable, network-capable systems:

- Support multi-building campuses
- Allow facility managers and corporate safety teams more visibility

9.2 Smart Buildings, IoT & Cloud Connectivity

Trends:

- Integration of fire alarm data into **enterprise dashboards** and **smart building platforms**
- Remote access (where allowed and properly secured) for diagnostics and limited configuration
- APIs and middleware to share status with CMMS/CAFM systems

Addressable FACUs with documented interfaces and vendor-supported gateways are key enablers.

9.3 Cybersecurity & Networked Fire Systems

As US and Canadian projects bring fire systems onto IP networks:

- Cybersecurity requirements from IT and security teams apply.
- Guidance is emerging from manufacturers and standards bodies on secure remote access, segmentation, and monitoring.

Designers must engage early with IT to ensure **network and cybersecurity architecture** support NFPA 72 requirements and do not compromise safety functions.

9.4 Anticipating Code & Technology Evolution

Regulatory trends point toward:

- Greater demand for **performance-based** evidence and data.
- Increasing adoption of **mass notification systems** and integrated life safety platforms.
- More stringent expectations for documentation and event history.

An addressable-based architecture is usually better positioned to adapt to such changes.

10. Decision Framework for Specifiers & ESDs

10.1 Structured Decision Criteria

Criteria to document for each project:

- Building size, height, occupancy, and risk
- Integration needs and emergency control requirements
- Expectations for change (tenant turnover, expansions)
- Owner's priorities: CapEx vs lifecycle cost, downtime sensitivity
- Maintenance capabilities and vendor ecosystem

10.2 Conventional vs Addressable Selection Matrix

Create a scoring table with weighted criteria:

- Safety & performance
- Compliance risk
- Flexibility and future-proofing
- Integration and data needs
- Installation cost
- Lifecycle cost and maintenance

Score each technology option and justify your selection in your **Basis of Design (BoD)** or Fire Protection Design Brief.

10.3 Example Project Profiles & Recommended Solutions

1. **Two-storey office, 10,000 sq ft, no BMS integration, limited budget**
    - Likely selection: **Conventional**, with possible plan for upgrade if building use changes.
2. **12-storey mixed-use with shared parking, central HVAC, and BMS**
    - Likely selection: **Addressable**, networked with BMS integration and detailed cause & effect.
3. **Acute care hospital** (per NFPA 99/NFPA 101 healthcare occupancy)
    - Expected selection: **Addressable** with robust networking, redundancy, and advanced control.
4. **Data center with high business continuity requirements**
    - Likely selection: **Addressable** integrated with suppression, HVAC, and security, with advanced logging and remote diagnostics.

10.4 Stakeholder Communication & Justification

Translate your technical reasoning into **plain language** for Owners and AHJs:

- Describe **why** one technology better manages their risk, operations and future plans.
- Provide a simple **side-by-side comparison** of initial cost vs long-term benefits.
- Document alternative options and the reasons they were not recommended.

11. Selection Checklist & Decision Tree (Practical Tools)

11.1 Comprehensive Specification Checklist

Fire Panel Selection Checklist for Specifiers & ESDs

You can present this as:

- Two columns (Project Context / Technical & Lifecycle), or
- A single list with grouped headings.

A. Project & Risk Profile

1. Define building type & risk level

- Tick: ☐ Residential ☐ Commercial ☐ Industrial ☐ Healthcare ☐ Education ☐ Assembly ☐ Other: _____
- Any **sleeping risk** (hotels, care homes, student halls)?
- Any **high-value or critical areas** (data rooms, control rooms, critical plant)?

2. Map size & complexity

- Total floor area: _____ m^2 / ft^2
- Number of floors: _____
- Single building or multi-building site?
- Simple / medium / complex circulation and compartmentation?

3. Understand regulatory & AHJ expectations

- Applicable standards/codes confirmed (e.g. NFPA / EN / BS + local)?
- AHJ preference:
  - ☐ No preference
  - ☐ Conventional acceptable
  - ☐ Addressable expected/required

B. System Requirements

4. Identify integration needs

Tick all that apply:

- ☐ BMS / HVAC / smoke control
- ☐ Access control / door release
- ☐ Lift control
- ☐ Voice alarm / PA
- ☐ CCTV / VMS triggers
- ☐ Remote monitoring / ARC
- ☐ Tenant/owner wants a “smart building” approach

5. Define zoning & evacuation strategy

- Zoning concept agreed (by floor, by fire compartment, by function)?
- Evacuation strategy:
  - ☐ Single-stage
  - ☐ Two-stage
  - ☐ Phased / progressive horizontal
- Any **special cause & effect** (e.g. stair pressurisation, smoke vents, shutdown sequences)?

C. Growth, Change & Lifecycle

6. Check for future expansion & change

- Planned extensions or new wings?
- Expected layout churn (e.g. flexible office/retail)?
- Do you need **spare capacity**:
  - At least __% spare loops/addresses
  - Space in panel for extra cards/modules

7. Maintenance & ownership model

- Who maintains the system?
  - ☐ In-house team
  - ☐ Specialist contractor
- Competency level:
  - ☐ Basic (visual checks, manual tests)
  - ☐ Advanced (diagnostics, software changes)
- Need for **remote diagnostics/reporting**?
  - ☐ Yes ☐ No

D. Commercial & Client Drivers

8. Budget vs lifecycle priorities

Rank (1–3) for this project:

___ Lowest possible **initial cost**
___ Low **false alarm** and disruption risk
___ Flexibility & **future-proofing**
___ Integration & **data visibility**
___ Compliance documentation & **auditability**

9. Confirm client expectations

Do they expect device-level location on alarms? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Do they want integration with existing corporate standards or platforms? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Are they comfortable committing to a **manufacturer ecosystem**? ☐ Yes ☐ No

E. Decision & Documentation

10. Confirm technology choice & rationale

Selected technology:

☐ Conventional

☐ Addressable

1–3 bullet **reasons** for choice (to include in design report/spec):

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

11. Capture integration & test requirements in spec

- Interfaces clearly described and allocated (who designs/tests what)?
- FAT/SAT and **integrated testing scenarios** included?
- Reporting/logging and documentation deliverables defined?

12. Validate with stakeholders

- Reviewed with:
  - ☐ Client / FM
  - ☐ Security / IT
  - ☐ AHJ (where appropriate)
  - ☐ Maintenance provider
- Final confirmation that panel selection supports:
  - ☐ Safety
  - ☐ Operations
  - ☐ Budget
  - ☐ Future plans

12. Conclusion

In the US and Canadian regulatory and market context:

- **Conventional fire alarm panels** are still highly appropriate for **small, simple, low-risk projects** where cost and simplicity dominate, and where integration and frequent change are unlikely.
- **Addressable fire alarm panels** are generally the better fit for **medium to large, complex, or high-risk occupancies**, and wherever there is an expectation of:
    - Integration with other systems
    - Frequent tenant or layout changes
    - High scrutiny from AHJs, insurers and corporate risk managers
    - Emphasis on lifecycle performance, data, and documentation

By using the frameworks, tools, and references in this guide, specifiers and ESDs can make **defensible, code-aware, and future-ready** panel selection decisions.

13. References & Further Reading (US & Canada Focus)

Core NFPA Codes & Standards

1. **NFPA 72 – National Fire Alarm and Signaling Code**, National Fire Protection Association, latest edition.
2. **NFPA 101 – Life Safety Code**, National Fire Protection Association, latest edition.
3. **NFPA 70 – National Electrical Code (NEC)**, National Fire Protection Association, latest edition.
4. **NFPA 90A – Standard for the Installation of Air-Conditioning and Ventilating Systems**, NFPA, latest edition.
5. **NFPA 92 – Standard for Smoke Control Systems**, NFPA, latest edition.
6. **NFPA 99 – Health Care Facilities Code**, NFPA, latest edition (for healthcare occupancies, US context).

Building Codes

7. **International Building Code (IBC)**, International Code Council (ICC), latest edition, as adopted by state/local jurisdictions in the US.
8. **National Building Code of Canada (NBCC)**, National Research Council Canada, latest edition, as adopted by provinces/territories.
9. Provincial/territorial building and fire codes (e.g., Ontario Building Code, Alberta Building Code, BC Building Code).

Product Standards & Listings

10. **UL 864 – Standard for Control Units and Accessories for Fire Alarm Systems**, Underwriters Laboratories.
11. **ULC-S527 – Standard for Control Units for Fire Alarm Systems**, Underwriters Laboratories of Canada.
12. Other relevant UL/ULC/CSA standards for detectors, notification appliances and accessories (e.g., UL 268, ULC-S529).

Guides & Technical Resources

13. **NFPA 72 Handbook**, National Fire Protection Association – with explanatory guidance and commentary.
14. **NFPA 101 Handbook**, National Fire Protection Association.
15. Manufacturer application guides and design manuals (e.g., from Honeywell, Johnson Controls/Tyco, Siemens, Edwards, Bosch, Mircom, Notifier, Simplex, etc.).
16. **SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering**, Society of Fire Protection Engineers.
17. **FM Global** Property Loss Prevention Data Sheets (particularly those related to fire detection & protection).

Authorities & Industry Bodies (US & Canada)

18. **National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)** – codes, standards, handbooks, and training.
19. **National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA)**, **Society of Fire Protection Engineers (SFPE)** – technical publications and best practice.
20. Canadian bodies such as the **Canadian Fire Alarm Association (CFAA)** and provincial Fire Marshals' offices – technical bulletins, interpretations and guidance.